

### Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application. A clean version of the Claims, as amended hereby, is appended to this paper for the Examiner's convenience:

### Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A method of processing historical data relating to past-historical performance series ( $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$ ) of markets and financial-and/financial tools in order to obtain a synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA) constituted by a series of performances ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ )—representative of various economical and financial scenarios, the method comprising where the method comprises the following steps:

- acquiring historical data relating to a number (m) of historical series of  $[m]$  performances ( $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$ );
- setting up a given number (n) representing the number of performances ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ ) to be produced, wherein the given number (n) of performances for constituting constitutes the synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA);
- setting up a first number of probability levels ( $P_{min}, P_{min}$  and 50%) to utilize for defining at least one control system systems and a second number of probability levels ( $P_{inf}, P_{sup}$  and 10-50%) to utilize for defining at least one statistical scenario-scenarios;
- setting up a given number (s) of time intervals ( $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_s$ ) including  $[the]$  a time interval ( $T^*$ ) equal to the given number (n) of performances, in which particular mathematical constraints are to-be-verified between [the]] curves [of]] generated by the at least one control system, wherein the curves are originated by the given number (n) of performances ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ ) of the synthetic index ([p]]PROXYNTETICA) and [the]] by the at least one statistical scenario scenarios—obtained from the given number (m) of historical series of performances-series ( $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$ );
- calculating a plurality number of statistical scenarios  $[{}]\{Scenario (P_i, T[{}])\}$  constructed in accordance with said second number of probability levels and the given number (s) of time intervals, wherein in a first statistical scenario ( $P_i$ )  $i \in [1...p]$  and in a second statistical scenario ( $T_i$ )  $[{}]\} \in [1...s];$
- setting up a growing series of correlation values;

- selecting a non-linear programming algorithm for identifying ~~[[the]]~~ global optima;
- ~~configuring setting-up~~ said non-linear programming algorithm so that ~~it~~ the same:
  - a) assumes the given number (n) of performances ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ ) ~~[[as]]~~ the ~~unknown variables to be produced~~ ~~[[for]]~~ ~~to be~~ constituting the synthetic index (~~[[p]]~~PROXYNTETICA), and
  - b) performs at least one of minimizing and maximizing ~~an~~ minimizes and/or maximizes a objective function (FO), wherein the objective function is obtained as a standard logarithmic deviation from the given number (n) of performances ~~unknown variables~~ ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ )~~;~~ ~~[[and]]~~
- ~~establishing setting-up~~ constraints for the non-linear programming algorithm ~~implementing process~~, so that said non-linear programming algorithm calculates the given number (n) of performances ~~unknown variables~~ ( $Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, Ax_n$ ) ~~[[for]]~~ ~~to arrive at at least one of~~ a minimum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min) and~~[[/or]]~~ a maximum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min and/or PROXYNTETICA max)~~[[.]]~~; and
- ~~processing the non-linear programming algorithm so that it provides at least one of the maximum synthetic index~~ (PROXYNTETICA max) and the minimum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA min).

2. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein~~ characterized in that said first number of probability levels comprises ~~for defining control systems is constituted of three~~ probability levels, the first probability level ( $P_{min}$ ,  ~~$P_{min}$  and 50%~~) comprising an average probability level equal to 50%, the second probability level comprising a minimum probability level ( $P_{min}$ ) of less than  ~~$\leq$~~  50%, and the third probability level comprising a maximum probability level ( $P_{max}$ ) of greater than  ~~$\geq$~~  50%.

3. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein~~ characterized in that said second number of probability levels comprises ~~for defining statistical scenarios is constituted of three~~ probability levels, the first probability level ( $P_{inf}$ ,  ~~$P_{sup}$  and 50%~~) comprising an average probability level equal to 50%, the second probability level comprising a lower probability level

(Pinf) of less than  $<50\%$ , and the third probability level comprising a higher probability level (Psup) of greater than  $\geq 50\%$ .

4. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 3, ~~wherein characterized in that said~~ number of statistical scenarios (Scenario ([p]Pi, Tj)) ~~comprises is equal to three statistical scenarios constructed in accordance with [to] said three probability levels of probability (Pinf, Psup and 50%).~~

5. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein characterized in that said~~ constraints ~~set up for the non-linear programming imposed on said algorithm to arrive at for calculating the minimum synthetic index ([p]PROXYNTETICA min) comprise that:~~

a) ~~[[the]]a standard deviation [DS] of the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) that is to be greater than or equal to the average [M] of the standard deviations [DSk,] calculated on [[the]] a rolling calculation of grade n of the given historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am), wherein the rolling calculation is equal in number to the given number (n) of performances;~~

b) ~~the value of the control system at the probability of 50% (Pmed) that is constructed on the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) is defined utilizing a probability level equal to 50% and coincides is to coincide with the value of [[the]] a statistical scenario that is calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am)[[.]] at a [[the]] probability level equal to [[of]] 50% (Pmed), wherein both the control system and the statistical scenario relate relating to [[the]] a [[n-th]] time interval equal to the total given number (n) of performances;~~

c) ~~the values of the control systems defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the maximum probability levels comprising a maximum probability level (Pmax) of greater than 50% have values that are to be lower than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a higher relating to the highest probability level (Psup) of greater than 50%;~~

d) ~~the values of the control systems that are defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables-(Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the-(s) of time intervals and to the minimum-probability levels comprising a minimum probability level (Pmin) of less than 50% have values that are to-be-higher than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios seenario-calculated on the given-number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a lower-relating-to-the-lowest probability level (Pinf) of less than 50%;~~ and

e) the correlation between: (i) the given number (n) of performances problem variables-(Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn); and (ii) the last [[n]] portion of performances of the given-number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) equal in number to the given number (n) of performances; is ~~to-be-equal to the highest possible value among-those-given-for-the correlation.~~

6. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, ~~wherein characterized in that said constraints set up for the non-linear programming imposed on said algorithm to arrive at for calculating the maximum synthetic index (PROXYNTETICA max) comprise that:~~

a) ~~the value of the control system at-the-probability-of-50% (Pmed)-that is constructed on the given number (n) of performances problem-variables-(Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) is defined utilizing a probability level equal to 50% and coincides is-to-coincide-with the value of [[the]] a statistical scenario that is calculated on the given-number (m) of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am)[[.]] at a [[the]] probability level equal to [[of]] 50% (Pmed), wherein both the control system and the statistical scenario relate relating-to the time interval (T\*) equal to the number (n) of performances;~~

b) ~~the values-of-control systems defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem-variables-(Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the-(s) of time intervals and to the maximum-probability levels comprising a maximum probability level (Pmax) of greater than 50% are to-be-higher than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios seenario-calculated on the given-number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a higher relating-to the highest-probability level (Psup) of greater than 50%;~~

c) ~~the values of the control systems that are defined for the given number (n) of performances of the problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) having a given number corresponding to the (s) of time intervals and to the minimum probability levels comprising a minimum probability level (Pmin) of less than 50% are to be lower than or coincident with [[the]] corresponding values of the statistical scenarios scenario-calculated on the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) that have probability levels comprising a lower, relating to the lowest probability level (Pinf) of less than 50%; and~~

d) ~~the correlation between: (i) the given number (n) of performances problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn); and (ii) the last [[n]] portion of performances of the given number (m) of historical series of performances (A1 A2, ..., Am) equal in number to the given number (n) of performances; is [[be]] equal to the highest possible value among those given for the correlation.~~

7. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 5, wherein ~~characterized in that~~ at each processing of said non-linear programming algorithm where an unacceptable supplying a solution is provided unacceptable under the constraint (c) ~~regarding the correlation between the n problem variables (Ax1, Ax2, ..., Axn) and the last n performances of the given historical series (A1 A2, ..., Am), the first value of correlation considered is [[the]] one less lower than the highest current value given.~~

8. (Currently amended) The method according to claim 1, wherein ~~characterized in that~~ said non-linear programming algorithm for identifying [[the]] global optima is an algorithm implemented in the GLOBSOL software.